JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE CASE**

#### a. Statement of the Case.

#### Plaintiff's Statement of the Case:

On or about September 11, 2009, Plaintiff's doctor placed her on total temporary disability leave for seven days as a result of Plaintiff's headaches and injuries from an August 12, 2009 workplace fire. On or about September 18, 2009 Plaintiff's doctor released Plaintiff to work with accommodations, including but not limited to, limited standing or walking, sit down work, and a 10 minute stretch break every 90 minutes. Defendant did not adhere to these limitations or engage in any good faith interactive process regarding Plaintiff's request for accommodation. On or about October 23, 2009, Plaintiff was diagnosed by her doctor for "adjustment disorder with mixed anxiety and depression." On or about November 6, 2009, Plaintiff's doctor recommended Plaintiff return to work with accommodation — a change in store location. Defendant refused to honor the accommodation or engage in a good faith interactive process regarding the request.

On or about November 13, 2009, Plaintiff put in a request for medical leave pertaining to her mental disability with her store manager Debra Bruno, indicating on that her reasons for leave were due to "depression and anxiety" and to care for her son following his surgery. Ms. Callius later told Plaintiff that her request for leave was approved.

From on or about November 21, 2009 until May 2010, Plaintiff took leave for her mental disability/serious health condition. Plaintiff left work with assurance of employment upon return from her leave from Debra Bruno and Laura Callius, and Plaintiff periodically called Defendant to keep them up-to-date on her status and ensure her employment was secure.

Plaintiff went into work in or about June 2010, to inform Defendants she was ready to resume work. Debra Bruno told Plaintiff she first needed a doctor's

1 2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

note before she could resume work. Plaintiff's physician faxed Defendant a note indicating Plaintiff was able to resume work, without restrictions, in or about June 2010. The following day, Debra Bruno called Plaintiff and claimed that Plaintiff could not resume work because the duration of her personal leave was too long. Debra Bruno indicated that Plaintiff was terminated on April 1, 2010.

#### Walgreens' Statement of the Case:

Walgreens denies Plaintiff's allegations and states that Plaintiff is not entitled to recover damages.

#### Subject Matter Jurisdiction.

Jurisdiction is proper pursuant to Sections 1332, 1441 and 1446 of Title 28 of the United States Code. Complete diversity exists between Plaintiff and Walgreens pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(2), as Plaintiff was, and is, a citizen of the State of California and Walgreens was, and is, incorporated under the laws of the State of Illinois and maintains its principal place of business in Deerfield, Illinois.

## Legal Issues.

Whether Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff due to disability;

Whether Defendant engaged in the interactive process;

Whether Defendant performed an undue hardship analysis;

Whether Defendant interfered with Plaintiff taking leave pursuant to CFRA/FMLA and/or retaliated against Plaintiff for taking leave;

Whether Defendant could have reasonably accommodated Plaintiff's requests for accommodation, including but not limited to leave, without causing undue hardship;

Whether Defendant failed to take all reasonable steps to prevent discrimination and retaliation from occurring; and

Whether Defendant wrongfully terminated Plaintiff in violation of California public policy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

#### Parties, Evidence, Etc. d.

The only parties to this lawsuit are Plaintiff Anna Missouri and Defendant

Walgreen Co.

#### Plaintiff's Evidence, Witnesses, and Key Documents:

- Plaintiff Anna Missouri; (must be contacted through Plaintiff's 1. counsel)
- Debra Bruno (contact information in Defendant's possession) 2.
- Laura Callius (contact information in Defendant's possession) 3.
- Plaintiff's treating physicians and medical staff, including all 4. individuals treating Plaintiff through US Healthworks Medical Group, including Christian Derefield, P.A..
- Michael Barsom, M.D., 1760 Chicago Avenue, Suite J3, Riverside, 5. CA 92507; (951) 781-2200;
- Tanya Demchuk, Sedgwick Claims Examiner (916) 771-2900, Ext. 6. 12943;
- Randy Mitsuhashi Walgreen's store manager alleged to have 7. harassed and discriminated against a disabled employee in Los Angeles County (contact information unknown to Plaintiff)
- 8. Hernandez another individual alleging disability Jose discrimination by Walgreen's in Los Angeles County (contact information unknown to Plaintiff)
- All witnesses identified by Defendant. 9.

Plaintiff anticipates that key evidence and documents will include Defendant's policies and procedures regarding leave, Plaintiff's leave documents, all of Defendant's notes, documents and emails memorializing discussions with Plaintiff and communications regarding her leave, as well as documents between Defendant and Sedgwick (Defendant's 3<sup>rd</sup> party administrator) pertaining to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's claim for workers' compensation.

27 28 1.

#### 1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## Walgreens' Evidence, Witnesses, and Key Documents:

- 2
- 2. Deborah Bruno; and

Plaintiff;

3. Witnesses identified by Plaintiff.

The key evidence and documents will include Defendant's equal employment opportunity policies and procedures, Plaintiff's personnel file, Plaintiff's medical records, and any records maintained by Plaintiff relevant to her claims. As discovery is only beginning, Walgreens reserves the right to name additional witnesses identified throughout the course of this litigation.

#### Damages.

#### Plaintiff's Position on the realistic range of provable damages:

Plaintiff earned approximately \$10 an hour, plus applicable benefits, at the time of her termination (November 1, 2009). Plaintiff estimates, subject to review of documents, that she worked approximately 31 hours per week. Taking \$10 x 31 x 4 weeks = approximately \$1,240 monthly. Plaintiff has been unemployed approximately 21 months since her termination. \$1,240 x 21 months = Lost earnings to date of approximately \$26,040. Plaintiff seeks lost earnings to date, as well as lost earnings capacity in an amount according to proof at trial. Plaintiff will seek damages in an amount according to proof at trial.

Plaintiff seeks damages for emotional distress and pain and suffering caused by Defendant's adverse employment actions against her, including termination, in an amount according to proof.

Medical - In an amount according to proof;

Punitive - In an amount according to proof; and

Attorneys' Fees and Costs - In amount according to proof.

# Walgreens' Position:

Plaintiff is not entitled to recover damages.

28

Case 2:11-cv-05464-DSF-VBK	Document 10	Filed 09/26/11	Page 6 of 12	Page ID
	#:96			

f. Insurance.

None at issue.

#### g. Motions.

The parties do not currently anticipate the filing of any motions to amend the pleadings, add parties or claims, transfer venue, etc. The parties anticipate filing pretrial dispositive motion(s) and potential motions in limine.

h. <u>Manual for Complex Litigation</u>.

Not applicable.

#### i. Status of Discovery.

The parties have propounded written discovery and discussed dates for the depositions of Plaintiff and Walgreens' witnesses. The parties have agreed to exchange responses to written discovery and initial disclosures on October 7, 2011.

#### j. <u>Discovery Plan</u>.

# Plaintiff's Discovery Plan:

		·
Discovery Mechanism	Deponent/Recipient of Anticipated Comp	
	Discovery	Date
Depositions	1. Debra Bruno	1. November 2011
	2. Laura Callius	2. November 2011
	3. Defendant's Person(s)	3. November 2011
	Most Knowledgeable &	
	Request for Docs	
	4. Other third-party witnesses	4. Prior to discovery cut-
	identified during discovery	off.
	5. Defendant's expert	5. Prior to expert
	witness(es), if any	discovery cut-off.
Request for	Walgreens	October 7, 2011 and

Production of		prior to discovery cu
Documents		off if further discove
		required.
Special Interrogatories	Walgreens	October 7, 2011 and
		prior to discovery cu
		off if further discove
		required.
Request for	Walgreens	Unknown.
Admissions		
Walgreens' Disc	overy Plan:	
Discovery Mechanism	Deponent/Recipient of	Completion Date
	Discovery	
Depositions	1. Plaintiff	1. November 2011
	2. Plaintiff's expert	2. Prior to the exper
	witness(es), if any	discovery cut-off da
Request for	Plaintiff	October 7, 2011 and
Production of		prior to discovery cu
Documents		off if further discove
		required.
Special Interrogatories	Plaintiff	October 7, 2011 and
		prior to discovery cu
		off if further discove
		required.
Request for	Plaintiff	Unknown.
	1	1

JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT

Plaintiff's Medical Providers Unknown. Subpoena(s) 1 Discovery cut-off. 2 k. Plaintiff's Proposed Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: 3 Completion of non-expert discovery: June 4, 2012 a) 4 Walgreens' Proposed Non-Expert Discovery Cut-Off: 5 Completion of non-expert discovery: June 4, 2012 a) 6 Expert Discovery. 7 1. Plaintiff's Proposed Expert Discovery Plan and Cut-Offs: 8 Initial Expert Witness Disclosure: July 2, 2012 9 a) July 2, 2012 Expert Witness Reports Exchanged: 10 August 2, 2012 Rebuttal Expert Witness Disclosure: 11 Rebuttal Expert Witness Reports Exchanged: August 2, 2012 12 Completion of Expert Discovery: September 7, 2012 b) 13 Walgreens' Proposed Expert Discovery Plan and Cut-Offs: 14 July 2, 2012 Initial Expert Witness Disclosure: 15 a) July 2, 2012 Expert Witness Reports Exchanged: 16 Rebuttal Expert Witness Disclosure: August 2, 2012 17 Rebuttal Expert Witness Reports Exchanged: August 2, 2012 18 September 7, 2012 b) Completion of Expert Discovery: 19 Dispositive Motions. 20 m. Plaintiff's Position: 21 Plaintiff anticipates bringing a motion for summary judgment or, in the 22 alternative, partial summary judgment asserting that Defendant failed in its 23 affirmative duties to engage in the interactive process and accommodate Plaintiff's 24 known disability and request for accommodation, among other claims as 25 determined in discovery. Plaintiff is presently not in a position to ascertain the 26 claims and/or issues that may be determined by motion in limine. 27 28 ///

## Walgreens' Position:

Walgreens anticipates bringing a motion for summary judgment or, in the alternative, summary adjudication, as to each of Plaintiff's claims for relief. Walgreens is presently not in a position to ascertain the claims and/or issues that may be determined by motion in limine.

#### n. Settlement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties have not conducted any formal settlement discussions to date. Plaintiff has noted that the matter may be ripe for mediation upon Plaintiff's receipt of all documents memorializing Plaintiff's leave. The parties have selected Settlement Option No. 3 under Local Rule 16.15-4 and agreed to participate in private, non-judicial dispute resolution proceeding with an experienced employment mediator and are in the process of identifying a mediator and selecting a date.

#### o. Trial.

#### Plaintiff's Position:

Plaintiff has requested a jury trial, estimated length 4 days. Plaintiff anticipates calling 5-7 witnesses at this time.

## Walgreens' Position:

Walgreens estimates the length of trial will be 4 days. Walgreens anticipates calling approximately 5-7 witnesses at this time.

#### p. Trial Counsel.

Plaintiff will be represented at trial by Michael G. Jacob, Esq.

Walgreens will be represented at trial Rex D. Berry and Erick C. Turner of the law firm Berry & Block LLP.

# q. <u>Independent Expert or Master</u>.

The parties do not anticipate the need for an independent expert or master.

#### r. Timetable.

Please see Exhibit "A".

Case 2:1	1-cv-05464-DSF-VBK Document 10 Filed 09/26/11 Page 10 of 12 Page ID #:100
1	s. Other Issues.
2	The parties are currently unaware of any other issues that require the
3	Court's attention at this time.
4	
5	Dated: September 26, 2011 /s/ Michael G. Jacob, Esq.
6	Michael G. Jacob, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff Anna Missouri
7	Attorney for Frankfir Affina 1911550uff
8	
9	
10	Dated: September 26, 2011  /s/ Rex Darrell Berry, Esq.
11	Rex Darrell Berry, Esq. Attorney for Defendant Walgreen Co.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	10
	JOINT RULE 26(f) REPORT

# 

Case 2:11-cv-05464-DSF -VBK Document 6 Filed 07/05/11 Page 9 of 9 Page ID #:84 <u>SCHEDULE OF PRETRIAL AND TRIAL DATES</u>

CASE NAME: Anna Missouri V. Walgreen Co.

CASE NO: CN 11-5464 DSF

Matter	Time	Weeks before trial	Plaintiff(s) Request	Defendant(s) Request	Court Order
Trial (jury)(court) (length 5- days) (Tuesday)	8:00 am		12/4/12	same	
For Court Trial Lodge Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, LR 52, and Summaries of Direct Testimony		3			
Pretrial Conference, LR 16; Hearing on Motions in Limine	3:00pm	4	บไฟเล	same	
For Jury Trial Lodge Pretrial Conference Order, LR 16-7; File Agreed Set of Jury Instructions and Verdict Forms; File Statement Regarding Disputed Instructions, Verdicts, etc.; File Oppositions to Motions in Limine		6	10/23/12	same	
For Jury Trial File Memo of Contentions of Fact and Law, LR 16-4; Exhibit & Witness Lists, LR 16-5,6; File Status Report Regarding Settlement; File Motions in Limine		7	10/16/12	Same	
Last date to conduct Settlement Conf., LR 16-15		12	9/11/12	same	
Last day for hearing motions, LR 7		14	8\28\1a	same	
Non-expert Discovery Cut-off			6)4112	same	
Expert Disclosure (initial)			7/2/12	same	
Expert Disclosure (rebuttal)			8/8/18	same	
Expert Discovery Cut-off			9/7/12	same	
Last Date to Amend Pleadings or Add Parties					

LR 16-15 Settlement Choice:	1. USMJ	3. Outside ADR
	2. Attorney Settlement Panel	

Exhibit A